



Recognition of genetic predisposition in pediatric cancer patients: An easy-to-use selection tool



Marjolijn C.J. Jongmans^{a,*}, Jan L.C.M. Loeffen^b, Esmé Waanders^a,
Peter M. Hoogerbrugge^c, Marjolijn J.L. Ligtenberg^a, Roland P. Kuiper^a,
Nicoline Hoogerbrugge^a

^a Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Medical Center and Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

^b Department of Pediatric Oncology, Erasmus MC – Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

^c Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 August 2015

Received in revised form

3 January 2016

Accepted 24 January 2016

Available online 26 January 2016

Keywords:

Cancer susceptibility

Childhood cancer

Selection tool

Congenital anomalies

Family history

Dysmorphisms

ABSTRACT

Genetic predisposition for childhood cancer is under diagnosed. Identifying these patients may lead to therapy adjustments in case of syndrome-related increased toxicity or resistant disease and syndrome-specific screening programs may lead to early detection of a further independent malignancy. Cancer surveillance might also be warranted for affected relatives and detection of a genetic mutation can allow for reproductive counseling.

Here we present an easy-to-use selection tool, based on a systematic review of pediatric cancer predisposing syndromes, to identify patients who may benefit from genetic counseling. The selection tool involves five questions concerning family history, the type of malignancy, multiple primary malignancies, specific features and excessive toxicity, which results in the selection of those patients that may benefit from referral to a clinical geneticist.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In literature on this topic, it is often mentioned that 10% of pediatric cancer results from genetic predisposition. This number is derived from a study by Narod and colleagues in 1991 who performed a cancer registry and literature review (Narod et al., 1991). Since 1991, many novel genes predisposing to pediatric cancer have been reported. Examples are germline *SMARCB1* mutations in patients with malignant rhabdoid tumors and *SUFU* mutations predisposing to medulloblastoma (Taylor et al., 2002; Versteeg et al., 1998).

Identifying susceptibility for childhood cancer is relevant for the patient and his family. For some patients, this may lead to modified treatment strategies in case of expected increased toxicity or resistant disease as well as surveillance measures for early detection of a further independent malignancy. Family members of

patients with an identified cancer predisposition syndrome may benefit from knowledge on increased cancer risks for themselves and also for them a cancer surveillance program might be warranted. Furthermore, detection of a cancer predisposing genetic mutation gives opportunities for reproductive counseling and prenatal diagnosis. Finally, identifying a genetic cause simply answers the question many parents presumably ask themselves: “Why did my child get cancer?”

Although the significance of recognizing tumor susceptibility in children is evident, in daily practice underlying syndromes and positive family histories are easily missed (Knapke et al., 2012; Merks et al., 2005). Recognizing susceptibility for pediatric cancer is further complicated by the fact that mutations in cancer predisposing genes do not necessarily result in a recognizable clinical phenotype. In addition, genetic forms of childhood cancer often lack a clear family history, for instance due to small family size or because the malignancy has arisen due to recessive or *de novo* germline mutations. Moreover, historically, pediatric cancer is a highly lethal disease and affected children did not grow up to start a family and pass on the predisposing mutation.

An easy-to-use selection tool to identify patients at high risk for

* Corresponding author. Dept. of Human Genetics, Radboud University Medical Center Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

E-mail address: marjolijn.jongmans@radboudumc.nl (M.C.J. Jongmans).

genetic susceptibility may improve the recognition of such predisposition in pediatric cancer patients. Here we describe the selection tool that we have developed and we give a review of the literature on which the tool is based.

2. Tool development

A review of the literature on pediatric cancer predisposition syndromes resulted in five characteristics on which we have based our selection tool: family history, specific malignancies, multiple primary cancers, specific features and excessive toxicity. Knowledge of those five characteristics can help to select patients at high risk for genetic predisposition, and identify who may benefit from referral to a clinical geneticist for further evaluation. (Fig. 1, the tool is also available in the [supplemental file](#) as a PDF that can be used in daily clinic and on <https://www.radboudumc.nl/Pages/hereditarycancer.aspx>).

2.1. Family history of pediatric and adult cancers

The most well known feature of hereditary cancer is clustering of cancer in a family. Most parents and physicians are aware of possible genetic predisposition if several children within one family are affected by cancer, particularly if this regards a similar type of cancer. An example is clustering of neuroblastoma in families with an *ALK* germline mutation (Mosse et al., 2008).

The combination of pediatric and adult cancer at relatively young age in one family and combinations of different types of cancer should also trigger awareness of cancer susceptibility. Li Fraumeni syndrome, for instance, predisposes for a wide range of malignancies, with particularly high occurrences of soft tissue and bone sarcomas, brain tumors and breast cancer. Cancer in Li Fraumeni syndrome affects children, adolescents and adults.

Autosomal dominant syndromes comprise the majority of conditions that convey an increased risk of cancer at adult age. Several childhood cancer predisposing syndromes, which each individually are rare, show recessive inheritance. Consanguinity increases the birth prevalence of individuals with recessive disorders. Therefore, it is important to ask about family connections among the parents of the affected child.

In the selection tool (Fig. 1), family history-based criteria are mentioned for selection of patients with possible genetic predisposition for childhood cancer. The age of onset cut-off of 45 years for the selection criterion 'multiple affected relatives' was chosen to avoid referral of a large number of families affected by common cancers diagnosed after age 50 such as breast cancer.

2.2. Children with specific malignancies

Several malignancies have such a strong association with genetic predisposition, that diagnosis of these cancers always merits genetic evaluation independent of family history or other factors. Those malignancies are summarized in Table 1 and can be divided in two subgroups: malignancies that are regularly encountered in adult patients, but are extremely rare in children (for instance colorectal cancer due to constitutional mismatch repair deficiency syndrome (Wimmer and Etzler, 2008)) and childhood malignancies highly correlated with one or more specific genetic syndromes (for instance choroid plexus carcinoma and Li Fraumeni syndrome (Krutikova et al., 2005)). When we composed this list of malignancies, we selected on the strength of the association with a predisposing condition, although exact numbers are often unavailable. In addition, we considered whether the syndrome is recognizable by other features included in our referral test. For instance, many syndromes have been reported in association with Wilms

tumor but most of these can be recognized by additional features, like congenital anomalies or overgrowth (Scott et al., 2006). To avoid referral of all children with Wilms tumor, including the children at very low probability of a genetic condition, we did not include this malignancy as a referral criterion.

2.3. Children affected by multiple primary tumors

If a child develops two or more synchronous or metachronous neoplasms, genetic predisposition needs to be taken into account. Important in this respect is that children who seem to have a relapse of their first malignancy, may actually suffer from a second primary malignancy, that is similar to the original disease (Szczepanski et al., 2011).

Another complicating factor in patients with multiple consecutive neoplasms is that consecutive malignancies can have a treatment-related origin rather than being a result of genetic predisposition, or can be caused by a combination of both. This may be encountered more and more frequently, because the treatment of childhood cancer has tremendously improved over the past several decades, resulting in a large and growing population of long-term survivors. In general, the secondary treatment related malignancies are of two main types: acute leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome after chemotherapy, or solid tumors related to radiotherapy (Bhatia and Sklar, 2002). An example of the latter type is a susceptibility for breast cancer in women treated with chest radiation for pediatric Hodgkin lymphoma (Travis et al., 2005). Other well-established radiation-related solid malignant neoplasms include thyroid cancers, brain tumors and sarcomas (Bhatia and Sklar, 2002). The individual role of chemotherapeutic agents is more difficult to determine, as most children receive multiple agents. An evident association has been found for topoisomerase II inhibitors and alkylating agents and the development of secondary acute myeloid leukemia (Bhatia and Sklar, 2002). The cumulative dose of these agents is an important factor in the actual risk of developing a secondary malignancy.

The timeframe separating the two malignancies can be informative to distinguish between therapy related and genetic predisposition related cancers. The latency between treatment of the primary cancer and the development of a secondary chemotherapy-related leukemia is generally short (~3 years), whereas solid, radiation induced tumors seem to have a latency longer than ten years (Bhatia and Sklar, 2002). As a consequence, genetic cancer predisposition needs to be considered in patients having multiple solid tumors in rapid succession or in patients who develop a second solid tumor without a history of radiotherapy or a second leukemia without a previous chemotherapy treatment.

To conclude: any child with two malignancies should be referred unless the second malignancy is consistent in time and/or tissue type with those expected from their treatment regimen.

2.4. Children with cancer and specific features

To facilitate the recognition of patients that need referral to a clinical geneticist, we here provide an overview in which the currently known childhood cancer predisposing syndromes are primarily categorized based on their phenotypic presentation. Many childhood cancer predisposing syndromes have characteristics in common. These are symptoms unrelated to the malignancy, which are present at birth or develop throughout life and range for instance from benign and subtle skin lesions to life threatening hematological conditions. We have divided these features in seven subgroups (Table 2) that will be explained in this section.

Childhood cancer, indication for referral to a clinical geneticist?

If your patient fulfills one or more of the criteria mentioned below (one or more circles filled), he or she may benefit from referral to a clinical geneticist.

1. Family history of the child with cancer

- ☐ ≥ 2 malignancies at childhood age (≤ 18 years of age)
- ☐ a first degree relative (parent or sibling) with cancer < 45 years of age
- ☐ ≥ 2 second degree relatives with cancer < 45 years of age on the same side of the family
- ☐ the parents of the child with cancer are related, i.e. consanguineous

2. A person with one of these tumors in childhood

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Adrenocortical carcinoma | <input type="radio"/> JMML | <input type="radio"/> Pleuropulmonary blastoma |
| <input type="radio"/> Atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor | <input type="radio"/> Low hypodiploid ALL | <input type="radio"/> Pituitary blastoma |
| <input type="radio"/> Cerebellar gangliocytoma | <input type="radio"/> Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor | <input type="radio"/> Pineoblastoma |
| <input type="radio"/> Choroid plexus carcinoma | <input type="radio"/> Medullary thyroid carcinoma | <input type="radio"/> Retinoblastoma |
| <input type="radio"/> Endolymphatic sac tumors | <input type="radio"/> Medulloblastoma | <input type="radio"/> Schwannoma |
| <input type="radio"/> Hemangioblastoma | <input type="radio"/> Optic glioma | <input type="radio"/> Subependymal giant cell tumor |
| <input type="radio"/> Hepatoblastoma | <input type="radio"/> Ovarian sertoli-leydig cell tumor | |

Or ☐ A cancer of adult age, i.e. colorectal cancer, ovarian cancer, basal cell carcinoma etc.

3. ☐ A child with two malignancies one of those with onset < 18 years of age (unless the 2nd malignancy is consistent in time and/or tissue type with these expected from their treatment regimen).

4. ☐ A child with cancer and congenital anomalies or other specific symptoms

Sign	Think of
Congenital anomalies	Organs, bones, oral clefting, teeth, eyes, ears, brain, urogenital anomalies, etc.
Facial dysmorphisms	
Intellectual disability	
Aberrant growth	Length, head circumference, birth weight, asymmetric growth
Skin anomalies	Aberrant pigmentation i.e. > 2 café-au-lait spots, vascular skin changes, hypersensitivity for sunlight, multiple benign tumors of the skin
Hematological disorders	Pancytopenia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia
Immune deficiency	

5. ☐ A child with excessive treatment toxicity

Fig. 1. Tool to select children with cancer who may benefit from referral to a clinical geneticist. The selection tool is also available in the [supplemental file](https://www.radboudumc.nl/Pages/hereditarycancer.aspx) as a PDF that can be used in daily clinic and on <https://www.radboudumc.nl/Pages/hereditarycancer.aspx>.

2.4.1. Congenital anomalies

Many molecular defects are known to give rise to congenital anomalies and cancer. Many molecular pathways involved in cancer initiation also play an important role in embryonic development. Numerous studies have demonstrated an increased incidence of congenital anomalies in children with cancer (Narod et al., 1997; Merks et al., 2008). However, in all studies the numbers were too small to determine any significant correlation between tumor types and individual anomalies.

This is illustrated by the relation between oral cleft and cancer development. Several cancer syndromes predispose children to a cleft lip and/or palate. Approximately eight percent of patients with Gorlin syndrome have an oral cleft (Lambrecht and Kreusch, 1997), and also in children with Beckwith Wiedemann syndrome and patients with a *CDH1* mutation predisposing to gastric cancer and lobular breast cancer, this is a rarely reported feature (Choufani et al., 2010; Frebourg et al., 2006). Cohort studies however provide no evidence for an increased overall cancer risk for individuals born with oral clefts (Bille et al., 2005; Blot et al., 1980). Apparently, the incidence of oral cleft associated tumor predisposition syndromes, and the co-occurrence of oral cleft and cancer in patients with these syndromes is too low to give a significant correlation within such large population based studies. Nonetheless, if a child develops cancer and has an oral cleft or another congenital malformation, a cancer predisposing syndrome needs to be considered.

2.4.2. Facial dysmorphisms

Facial appearance can be a significant clue in the identification of syndromes. Children with the same syndrome often share characteristic facial features at significantly higher prevalence than a control population. These facial features can be subtle, as for example in Noonan syndrome (Fig. 2).

Pediatric oncologists are not specifically trained in recognizing and describing dysmorphisms by standardized terms. However, cancer predisposing syndromes characterized by dysmorphisms without any other features are extremely rare and therefore most children will still be selected based on other criteria of the tool in case dysmorphisms are not recognized by the oncologist.

2.4.3. Intellectual disability

Studies that address the prevalence rate of intellectual disability (ID) among childhood cancer patients are not available. Such a study would be complicated by the fact that the development of children may be affected directly by a malignancy, for instance in children with a brain tumor, by the patient being chronically ill, as well as by the treatment for cancer. Therefore, while assessing the level of intelligence, one has to inquire for the developmental skills prior to diagnosis of cancer.

Among the causes of cancer predisposing syndromes presenting with ID, two groups of genetic defects can be distinguished. Monogenic aberrations that give rise to both ID and cancer, and chromosomal aberrations, which affect a combination of a cancer predisposing gene and an ID gene. The latter aberrations include trisomies, monosomies, unbalanced translocations and (submicroscopic) deletions and duplications. These chromosomal rearrangements have been useful for the localization of relevant cancer genes: the *RB1* gene, implicated in retinoblastoma (Francke and Kung, 1976; Friend et al., 1986), and *APC*, responsible for familial adenomatous polyposis (Grodin et al., 1991; Herrera et al., 1986; Kinzler et al., 1991) were mapped following the report of patients with ID, dysmorphic facial features and cancer.

2.4.4. Overgrowth

Childhood overgrowth syndromes are often associated with an increased risk of cancer, particularly embryonic tumors (Table 3).

An overgrowth syndrome is defined as a condition with either localized or generalized excessive growth for age and sex. Congenital overgrowth is defined by a neonatal weight above the 97th percentile, while in childhood and adulthood overgrowth is defined by height rather than by weight. Many of the overgrowth disorders present with excessive growth in fetal life and infancy with subsequent decline in growth rate such that adults often have normal growth parameters. The most frequent overgrowth disorder is Beckwith Wiedemann syndrome, characterized by a large tongue, macrosomia, midline abdominal wall defects, ear creases or pits and neonatal hypoglycemia (Choufani et al., 2010).

2.4.5. Growth failure

Growth retardation, like overgrowth, is an important hallmark of various pediatric cancer predisposing syndromes. These syndromes are mostly caused by mutations in DNA repair genes, mutations in RAS pathway genes or chromosomal aberrations (Table 4). Since cancer treatment can result in growth delay in children, it is important to collect data on the growth of the child before cancer diagnosis. The degree of growth retardation varies between the different syndromes. Some children have growth measures within the normal range for their age and gender, but are short compared to their target height. This is frequently observed in children with Noonan syndrome, which is further characterized by mild facial dysmorphisms and congenital heart defects.

2.4.6. Skin lesions

In many tumor predisposition syndromes, cutaneous findings are the presenting symptoms (Karalis et al., 2011). Some skin features manifest in specific periods of life. The mucocutaneous hyperpigmentation in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome for instance, fades after puberty (Beggs et al., 2010) and neurofibromas in Neurofibromatosis type 1 generally appear not until late childhood or adult age. Therefore, in order to recognize autosomal dominant syndromes, examination of the skin of both parents can be helpful. The observation of palmar pits in a parent of a child with medulloblastoma, is suggestive of Gorlin syndrome (Lo, 2008). Other skin lesions are striking for their appearance early in life. In Xeroderma pigmentosum, marked freckling of the face is present before the age of two years, which is rarely seen in children (Lehmann et al., 2011).

Café-au-lait macules are the most frequent cutaneous manifestation of tumor predisposition. Solitary café-au-lait spots are common birthmarks. The presence of more than one café-au-lait spot is less common. In school-aged children, at least one café-au-lait spot is noted in approximately 25% of children, whereas three or more macules are observed in 1–3% of the children (Shah, 2010). It is unusual for sporadic café-au-lait spots to develop after the age of six years; in syndromes such as Neurofibromatosis type 1, however, new macules may continue to develop throughout childhood and adulthood (Shah, 2010). Specific physical investigation using wood light can be of assistance to improve observation of hyper- as well as hypopigmented skin lesions. The latter can be a hallmark of constitutional mismatch repair deficiency syndrome for instance.

In Table 5 skin lesions associated with childhood cancer predisposing syndromes are summarized.

2.4.7. Hematological abnormalities

In several syndromes, defects in hematopoiesis precede cancer development, including abnormalities of a single cell lineage or pancytopenia. Many of these syndromes predispose to leukemia, primarily acute myeloid leukemia and/or myelodysplastic syndrome. Solid tumors occur in Fanconi anemia, dyskeratosis congenita and Diamond Blackfan anemia. (Alter, 2007) Table 6 gives an overview of tumor predisposition syndromes affecting

Table 1

Cancer types considered eligible for clinical genetic evaluation.

1) Cancers of adult age, which are extremely rare in the pediatric age group i.e. colorectal cancer, ovarian cancer, pheochromocytoma, basal cell carcinoma etc.		
2) Tumors highly correlated with specific syndrome(s)	Syndrome	Ref.
Adrenocortical carcinoma	Li Fraumeni syndrome, BWS, MEN1, FAP	(Else, 2012)
Atyp. teratoid malignant rhabdoid tumor	Rhabdoid Predisposition syndrome	(Bourdeaut et al., 2011)
Cerebellar gangliocytoma	<i>PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome</i>	(Padberg et al., 1991)
Choroid Plexus Carcinoma	Li Fraumeni syndrome	(Krutilkova et al., 2005)
Endolymphatic sac tumors	Von Hippel-Lindau syndrome	(Maher et al., 2011)
Hemangioblastoma	Von Hippel-Lindau syndrome	(Maher et al., 2011)
Hepatoblastoma	FAP, BWS	(Giardiello et al., 1996; Hughes and Michels, 1992; Rump et al., 2005)
Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia	Neurofibromatosis type 1, Noonan syndrome, CBL germline syndrome, Constitutional Mosaic Trisomy 8	(Loh, 2011; Ripperger et al., 2011)
Low hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia	Li Fraumeni syndrome	(Holmfeldt et al., 2013)
Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (Malignant) Schwannoma	Neurofibromatosis type 1	(Friedrich et al., 2007; McCaughan et al., 2007)
Medullary thyroid carcinoma	Neurofibromatosis type 1 and 2, Schwannomatosis, Carney complex	(Rodriguez et al., 2012)
Medulloblastoma (in particular < 3 years of age)	MEN2	(Marini et al., 2006)
Optic pathway glioma	FAP, Gorlin syndrome, germline mutations in <i>SUFU</i>	(Attard et al., 2007; Evans et al., 1991a; Slade et al., 2011a)
Ovarian Sertoli-Leydig cell tumor	Neurofibromatosis type 1	(Rosser and Packer, 2002)
Pleuropulmonary blastoma	DICER1 syndrome	(Slade et al., 2011b)
Pineoblastoma	DICER1 syndrome	(Hill et al., 2009)
Pituitary blastoma	DICER1 syndrome	(De Kock et al., 2014a)
Retinoblastoma	DICER1 syndrome	(De Kock et al., 2014b)
	Retinoblastoma predisposition syndrome	(Aerts et al., 2006)

BWS = Beckwith Wiedemann syndrome; FAP = Familial Adenomatous Polyposis

Table 2

Patient characteristics suggestive of hereditary pediatric cancer.

1	Congenital anomalies
2	Facial dysmorphisms
3	Intellectual disability
4	Growth disturbances
5	Skin lesions
6	Hematological disorders
7	Immune deficiency

hematopoiesis. For many of these conditions, bone marrow transplantation is the treatment of choice. Great care should be given to ensure that a non affected family member will be selected as donor. Therefore, recognition of these syndromes is of prime importance.



Fig. 2. A boy with Noonan syndrome caused by a *PTPN11* mutation. Note a bilateral downslant of the palpebral fissures, ptosis, a broad nasal bridge and low set posteriorly rotated ears.

2.4.8. Immunodeficiencies

Children with primary immunodeficiencies are at risk for developing cancer, particularly lymphoproliferative disorders. In the majority of these conditions, infections play a pivotal role in the development of lymphomas and carcinomas. In addition, the defect in immune surveillance may affect the ability to identify and eliminate cancer cells (Tran et al., 2008). An example is Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome, characterized by eczema, thrombocytopenia, immune deficiency, and a high risk (13–22%) of developing lymphomas (Sullivan et al., 1994; Imai et al., 2004).

A separate group of immunodeficiency syndromes are the genetic disorders of DNA repair. The development of effective immune responses is dependent on the generation of an enormous number of genetically diverse B and T cells (Oltz, 2001). These unique cells are created by breaking, randomly re-sorting and then joining the DNA sequences coding for antigen receptors. For this process the DNA repair mechanisms normally utilized to maintain genome stability are used (Gennery et al., 2000). As a result, defects in these pathways result both in cancer, mainly tumors of hematopoietic and epithelial origin, and in immunodeficiency. A review of immunodeficiency conditions in children is provided in references (Adriaensen et al., 2009) and (Ochs and Hagin, 2014).

2.5. Children with excessive treatment toxicity

Most treatments for cancer give adverse side effects and a proportion of patients will experience significant complications. In some cancer predisposition syndromes, however, the side effects are more severe, which may give a clue for syndrome diagnosis. Examples of excessive treatment toxicity are seen in Ataxia Telangiectasia, Nijmegen Breakage syndrome, and other immunodeficiency and DNA repair disorders. Patients suffering from these syndromes may experience severe complications after standard doses of radiotherapy or chemotherapy, resulting from defective repair of normal tissues following therapy (Bienemann et al., 2011).

In some syndromes, the adverse side effects are not present during the treatment, but become apparent after a time interval.

Table 3
Overgrowth syndromes predisposing to cancer.

Syndrome	Malignancies reported	Ref.
Generalized overgrowth syndromes		
Beckwith Wiedemann syndrome	Wilms tumor, hepatoblastoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, neuroblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma	(Rump et al., 2005)
Sotos syndrome	Leukemia, lymphoma, Wilm's tumor, sacrococcygeal teratoma, neuroblastoma	(Tatton-Brown and Rahman, 2007)
Simpson Golabi Behmel syndrome	Wilms tumor, hepatoblastoma, neuroblastoma, gonadoblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma	(Lapunzina et al., 1998)
Perlman syndrome	Wilms tumor	(Alessandri et al., 2008)
Weaver syndrome	Neuroblastoma	(Basel-Vanagaite, 2010)
Partial overgrowth syndromes		
Isolated Hemihyperplasia	Wilms tumor, hepatoblastoma, adrenocortical carcinoma	(Bliek et al., 2008; Clericuzio and Martin, 2009)
Macrocephaly-capillary malformation syndrome	Leukemia, meningioma, retinoblastoma, Wilms tumor	(Lapunzina et al., 2004)
Proteus syndrome	Various, but rare	(Gordon et al., 1995)
PIK3CA-Related Segmental Overgrowth	Wilms tumor, meningioma	(Mirzaa et al., 2013)
Macrocephaly syndromes		
PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome	Cerebellar gangliocytoma, thyroid cancer, breast cancer, endometrial cancer, colon cancer	(Nieuwenhuis et al., 2014)
Neurofibromatosis type 1	Optic pathway glioma, leukemia, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor	(Walker et al., 2006)
Costello syndrome	Rhabdomyosarcoma, neuroblastoma, bladder cancer	(Kratz et al., 2011; Gripp, 2005; Kratz et al., 2015)
Gorlin syndrome	Medulloblastoma, basal cell carcinoma	(Evans et al., 1991a; Jones et al., 2011)
Tall stature		
MEN 2B	Medullary thyroid carcinoma, pheochromocytoma	(Skinner et al., 1996)

* various epigenetic and/or genetic alterations that deregulate imprinted genes on chromosome 11p15.5.

Patients with Gorlin syndrome are abnormally sensitive to radiation therapy, and may develop a large number of basal cell tumors in the irradiated area several years after exposure (Evans et al., 1991b; Kimonis et al., 1997; Walter et al., 1997). This example illustrates the importance of considering the presence of a syndrome before starting treatment.

3. Discussion

In this article, an overview of symptoms and characteristics is given for the identification of children affected by cancer who are at

high risk of carrying a cancer predisposing genetic mutation. Based on these criteria we have developed a selection tool, to be used by the pediatric oncologist for the selection of children who may benefit from referral to a clinical geneticist. To explain how we have chosen the different items on which we have based the selection tool, many examples of cancer prone syndromes and their associated features are given. We would like to state however, that this manuscript does not provide a complete list of all pediatric cancer predisposing conditions and associated symptoms.

Previously, in our center online selection tools were developed to detect patients with a high familial risk of colorectal cancer and

Table 4
Growth retardation syndromes predisposing to cancer.

Growth retardation syndromes	Low birth-weight	Short stature	Micro-cephaly	Malignancies reported	Ref.
DNA repair syndromes					
Mosaic Variegated Aneuploidy	+	+	+	Wilms tumor, rhabdomyosarcoma	(Jacquemont et al., 2002)
Fanconi anemia	~5%	+	~20%	MDS, AML, ALL, squamous cell carcinomas of the head, neck and anogenital region	(Alter, 2003)
Rothmund Thomson syndrome	+	+	–	Osteosarcoma, skin cancer	(Wang et al., 2001)
Cartilage Hair Hypoplasia	+	+	–	Lymphoma, basal cell carcinoma	(Taskinen et al., 2008)
Bloom syndrome	+	+	+	AML, lymphoma, epithelial cancers	(German, 1997)
Nijmegen Breakage syndrome	+	+	+	Lymphoma, medulloblastoma, glioma, rhabdomyosarcoma	(Wegner et al., 1999)
Ras related disorders					
Cardio Facio Cutaneous syndrome	–	+/-	–	leukemia, lymphoma	(Kratz et al., 2011; Kratz et al., 2015)
Costello syndrome	–	+	–	Rhabdomyosarcoma, neuroblastoma, bladder cancer	(Kratz et al., 2011; Gripp, 2005; Kratz et al., 2015)
Noonan syndrome	–	+	–	Leukemia, neuroblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma	(Kratz et al., 2011; Kratz et al., 2015; Jongmans et al., 2011)
Noonan syndrome with multiple lentigines	–	<50%	–	Leukemia, neuroblastoma	(Kratz et al., 2011)
Chromosomal aberrations					
Trisomy 21	+/-	+	+	Leukemia	(Khan et al., 2011)
(Mosaic) Trisomy 18	+	+	+	Hepatoblastoma	(Maruyama et al., 2001)
Turner syndrome	+	+	–	Gonadoblastoma	(Bianco et al., 2009)
Other					
Rubinstein Taybi syndrome	–	+	+	Various	(Miller and Rubinstein, 1995)
Mulibrey Nanism	+	+	–	Wilms tumor	(Karlberg et al., 2009)

Table 5
Cutaneous features of childhood cancer predisposition syndromes.

	Skin lesions	Syndrome
Abnormal pigmentation	Café-au-lait spots	CMMR-D, NF-1, NF-2, Legius syndrome, Fanconi anemia, Ataxia telangiectasia, Carney complex, MEN1, Bloom syndrome
	Hypopigmented macules	Fanconi anemia, CMMR-D, Tuberous Sclerosis, Bloom syndrome
	Axillary freckling	NF-1, Legius syndrome
	Pigmented macules on mucosal surfaces, face, fingers and peri-anal.	Peutz-Jeghers syndrome
	Marked freckling of sun-exposed areas	Xeroderma pigmentosum
	Lentigenes	Noonan syndrome with multiple lentigines, Carney complex
Vascular changes	Reticular pigmentation	Dyskeratosis Congenita
	Epidermal naevi	PIK3CA-Related Segmental Overgrowth
	Cutaneous hemangiomas	Beckwith Wiedemann syndrome, PIK3CA-Related Segmental Overgrowth
	Angiofibromas	MEN1, Tuberous Sclerosis
	Telangiectasias	Ataxia Telangiectasia, Bloom syndrome
	Capillary malformations	PIK3CA-Related Segmental Overgrowth
Photo sensitivity	Facial naevus flammeus	Beckwith Wiedemann syndrome, PIK3CA-Related Segmental Overgrowth
	Sun-sensitive butterfly facial rash	Bloom syndrome, Rothmund Thomson syndrome
	Severe sun-sensitivity	Xeroderma pigmentosum
Skin tumors	Poikiloderma	Xeroderma pigmentosum, Rothmund Thomson syndrome, Ataxia telangiectasia
	Mucosal neuromas	MEN2B
	Cutaneous neuromas	MEN2A
	Lipomas	MEN1, PIK3CA-Related Segmental Overgrowth
	Neurofibromas	NF-1, Legius syndrome, CMMR-D
	Schwannomas	NF-1, Legius syndrome
	Papillomatous papules	Costello syndrome, PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome
	Cutaneous myxomas	Carney complex
	Acral keratoses	Rothmund Thomson syndrome, PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome
	Trichilemmomas	PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome
Other	Pilomatricomas	CMMR-D
	Facial milia	Gorlin syndrome
	Scleroderma-like skin changes	Werner syndrome
	Premalignant actinic keratoses	Xeroderma pigmentosum
	Seborrheic dermatitis	Ataxia telangiectasia
	Sebaceous and dermoid cysts	Gorlin syndrome
	Shagreen patch	Tuberous Sclerosis
	Palmar or plantar pits	Gorlin syndrome
	Plantar hyperkeratosis	Rothmund Thomson syndrome
	Eczema	Wiskott Aldrich syndrome, Ataxia telangiectasia
	Blue naevi	Carney complex
	Generalized warts	GATA2 deficiency

CMMR-D = Constitutional mismatch repair-deficiency syndrome; NF-1 = Neurofibromatosis type I; NF-2 = Neurofibromatosis type II; MEN = multiple endocrine neoplasia.

breast cancer. These tests guide clinicians and patients through appropriate family history collection and provide an instant conclusion regarding the presence or absence of an increased

hereditary cancer risk (<https://www.radboudumc.nl/pages/hereditarycancer.aspx>). Evaluation of the colorectal cancer referral test revealed a high sensitivity in detecting individuals with

Table 6
Cancer predisposition syndromes associated with preceding hematological abnormalities.

Condition	Cell lineage	Malignancies reported	Ref.
Congenital amegakaryocytic thrombocytopenia	All lineages	MDS, leukemia	(Geddis, 2011)
Schwachmann Diamond syndrome	All lineages	MDS, AML	(Maserati et al., 2009)
Fanconi anemia	All lineages	MDS, AML, ALL, squamous cell carcinomas of the head, neck and anogenital region	(Alter, 2003)
Dyskeratosis congenita	All lineages	Squamous cell carcinomas of the head, neck and anogenital region	(Alter et al., 2009)
Familial mosaic monosomy 7 syndrome	All lineages	MDS, AML	(Morrisette et al., 2010)
Diamond Blackfan anemia	Anemia	AML, MDS, osteosarcoma	(Vlachos et al., 2012)
Cartilage hair hypoplasia	Anemia, lymphopenia, neutropenia	Lymphoma, basal cell carcinoma	(Taskinen et al., 2008)
Familial platelet disorder with predisposition for AML	Thrombocytopenia	MDS, AML, ALL	(Owen et al., 2008)
Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome	Thrombocytopenia, neutropenia	Leukemia, lymphoma	(Sullivan et al., 1994; Imai et al., 2004)
Severe congenital neutropenia	Neutropenia	MDS, leukemia	(Carlsson et al., 2012)
ETV6 related familial leukemia	Thrombocytopenia and red cell macrocytosis	ALL	(Noetzi et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015)
GATA2 deficiency	Neutropenia, depletion of dendritic cells, monocytes, B and NK cells	MDS, AML, HPV associated skin and cervical cancer	(Hahn et al., 2011; Dickinson et al., 2011; Hsu et al., 2011)
ANKRD26-related thrombocytopenia	Thrombocytopenia	MDS, AML	(Noris et al., 2013)

increased risk of Lynch syndrome, even if used by nonmedical staff members (Dekker et al., 2014). The success of this selection tool enthused us to develop something similar for children with pediatric cancer, which of course is a much more heterogeneous group of conditions.

The selection tool presented here has several limitations. The input in the test is based on the physical examination, the medical history and the pedigree evaluation performed by the pediatric oncologist. Many studies have demonstrated poor family history data in medical records (Wood et al., 2014). The test in itself may already improve this part of clinical care for children with cancer because it reminds physicians of collecting a family history. However, along with implementing this test in routine clinical care it is essential to educate users of the test in the essentials of collecting a thorough family history and what questions can be asked specifically. The same is true for the physical examination by which congenital anomalies, skin lesions and dysmorphisms are detected. Educational sessions using pictures of recognizable features, or a period of training alongside a clinical geneticist in daily practice may improve the skills of the pediatric oncologist and thereby the sensitivity of the test.

Another limitation of the test is a lack of evidence based studies to support decisions about which criteria to include. Regarding topics for which scientific literature was lacking, choices were made based on experience and expertise of the authors. Validation of the test at larger scale will reveal whether adjustments need to be made.

We would like to make it abundantly clear that the guidelines described are meant for genetics referral of a child already diagnosed with cancer and the test should not be used for consideration of a cancer susceptibility syndrome in a child without a cancer diagnosis. Although there are a number of cancer predisposing disorders with for instance growth failure or intellectual disability there are many more genetic conditions associated with these features that do not have a substantial cancer risk. In addition, it is important to realize that molecular genetic testing will not be indicated in all children picked-out by the selection tool. The tool helps to select children who may benefit from referral to a clinical geneticist and the geneticist will apply a further selection and will decide in which patients molecular testing is indicated.

In conclusion, we have developed an easy-to-use selection tool, based on a systematic review of pediatric cancer predisposing syndromes, to select patients who may benefit from genetic counseling. We believe that structural application of the selection tool for each newly diagnosed child with cancer will augment the detection of children with a genetic predisposition for cancer. Future studies are needed to reveal the sensitivity and specificity of this tool.

Conflicts of interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Funding

Marjolijn Jongmans and Roland Kuiper are sponsored by the KiKa Foundation (projects KiKa-127 and KiKa-150 respectively). Esmé Waanders is a KWF–fellow from the Dutch Cancer Society (KUN2012-5366).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2016.01.008>.

References

- Adriaansen, M.E., Schaefer-Prokop, C.M., Stijnen, T., Duyndam, D.A., Zonnenberg, B.A., Prokop, M., 2009 Jun. Prevalence of subependymal giant cell tumors in patients with tuberous sclerosis and a review of the literature. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 16 (6), 691–696.
- Aerts, I., Lumbroso-Le, R.L., Gauthier-Villars, M., et al., 2006. Retinoblastoma. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 1, 31.
- Alessandri, J.L., Cuillier, F., Ramful, D., et al., 2008. Perlman syndrome: report, prenatal findings and review. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 146A, 2532–2537.
- Alter, B.P., 2003. Cancer in fanconi anemia, 1927–2001. *Cancer* 97, 425–440.
- Alter, B.P., 2007. Diagnosis, genetics, and management of inherited bone marrow failure syndromes. *Hematol. Am. Soc. Hematol. Educ. Program* 29–39.
- Alter, B.P., Giri, N., Savage, S.A., et al., 2009. Cancer in dyskeratosis congenita. *Blood* 113, 6549–6557.
- Attard, T.M., Giglio, P., Koppula, S., et al., 2007. Brain tumors in individuals with familial adenomatous polyposis: a cancer registry experience and pooled case report analysis. *Cancer* 109, 761–766.
- Basel-Vanagaite, L., 2010. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia in weaver syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 152A, 383–386.
- Beggs, A.D., Latchford, A.R., Vasen, H.F., et al., 2010. Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: a systematic review and recommendations for management. *Gut* 59, 975–986.
- Bhatia, S., Sklar, C., 2002. Second cancers in survivors of childhood cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2, 124–132.
- Bianco, B., Lipay, M., Guedes, A., et al., 2009. SRY gene increases the risk of developing gonadoblastoma and/or nontumoral gonadal lesions in Turner syndrome. *Int. J. Gynecol. Pathol.* 28, 197–202.
- Bienemann, K., Burkhardt, B., Modlich, S., et al., 2011. Promising therapy results for lymphoid malignancies in children with chromosomal breakage syndromes (Ataxia teleangiectasia or Nijmegen-breakage syndrome): a retrospective survey. *Br. J. Haematol.* 155, 468–476.
- Bille, C., Winther, J.F., Bautz, A., et al., 2005. Cancer risk in persons with oral cleft—a population-based study of 8,093 cases. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 161, 1047–1055.
- Blik, J., Maas, S., Alders, M., et al., 2008. Epigenotype, phenotype, and tumors in patients with isolated hemihyperplasia. *J. Pediatr.* 153, 95–100.
- Blot, W.J., Stiller, C.A., Wilson, L.M., 1980. Oral clefts and childhood cancer. *Lancet* 1, 722.
- Bourdeaut, F., Lequin, D., Brugieres, L., et al., 2011. Frequent hSNF5/INI1 germline mutations in patients with rhabdoid tumor. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17, 31–38.
- Carlsson, G., Fasth, A., Berglof, E., et al., 2012. Incidence of severe congenital neutropenia in Sweden and risk of evolution to myelodysplastic syndrome/leukaemia. *Br. J. Haematol.* 158, 363–369.
- Choufani, S., Shuman, C., Weksberg, R., 2010. Beckwith-wiedemann syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. C Semin. Med. Genet.* 154C, 343–354.
- Clericuzio, C.L., Martin, R.A., 2009. Diagnostic criteria and tumor screening for individuals with isolated hemihyperplasia. *Genet. Med.* 11, 220–222.
- De Kock, L., Sabbaghian, N., Plourde, F., Srivastava, A., Weber, E., Bouron-Dal Soglio, D., Hamel, N., Choi, J.H., Park, S.H., Deal, C.L., Kelsey, M.M., Dishop, M.K., Esbensen, A., Kuttisch, J.F., Jacques, T.S., Perry, A., Leichter, H., Maeder, P., Brundler, M.A., Warner, J., Neal, J., Zacharin, M., Korbonits, M., Cole, T., Traunecker, H., McLean, T.W., Rotondo, F., Lepage, P., Albrecht, S., Horvath, E., Kovacs, K., Priest, J.R., Foulkes, W.D., 2014. Pituitary blastoma: a pathognomonic feature of germ-line DICER1 mutations. *Acta Neuropathol.* 128 (1), 111–122.
- De Kock, L., Sabbaghian, N., Druker, H., Weber, E., Hamel, N., Miller, S., Choong, C.S., Gottardo, N.G., Kees, U.R., Rednam, S.P., van Hest, L.P., Jongmans, M.C., Jhangiani, S., Lupski, J.R., Zacharin, M., Bouron-Dal Soglio, D., Huang, A., Priest, J.R., Perry, A., Mueller, S., Albrecht, S., Malkin, D., Grundy, R.G., Foulkes, W.D., 2014. Germ-line and somatic DICER1 mutations in pineoblastoma. *Acta Neuropathol.* 128 (4), 583–595.
- Dekker, N., Hermens, R.P., Mensenkamp, A.R., van Zelst-Stams, W.A., Hoogerbrugge, N., 2014 Jan. Easy-to-use online referral test detects most patients with a high familial risk of colorectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis.* 16 (1), O26–O34.
- Dickinson, R.E., Griffin, H., Bigley, V., et al., 2011. Exome sequencing identifies GATA-2 mutation as the cause of dendritic cell, monocyte, B and NK lymphoid deficiency. *Blood* 118 (10), 2656–2658.
- Else, T., 2012. Association of adrenocortical carcinoma with familial cancer susceptibility syndromes. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 351, 66–70.
- Evans, D.G., Farndon, P.A., Burnell, L.D., et al., 1991. The incidence of Gorlin syndrome in 173 consecutive cases of medulloblastoma. *Br. J. Cancer.* 64, 959–961.
- Evans, D.G., Birch, J.M., Orton, C.I., 1991. Brain tumors and the occurrence of severe invasive basal cell carcinoma in first degree relatives with Gorlin syndrome. *Br. J. Neurosurg.* 5, 643–646.
- Francke, U., Kung, F., 1976. Sporadic bilateral retinoblastoma and 13q- chromosomal deletion. *Med. Pediatr. Oncol.* 2, 379–385.
- Frebourg, T., Oliveira, C., Hochain, P., et al., 2006. Cleft lip/palate and CDH1/E-cadherin mutations in families with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. *J. Med. Genet.* 43, 138–142.
- Friedrich, R.E., Hartmann, M., Mautner, V.F., 2007. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNST) in NF1-affected children. *Anticancer Res.* 27, 1957–1960.
- Friend, S.H., Bernards, R., Rogelj, S., et al., 1986. A human DNA segment with properties of the gene that predisposes to retinoblastoma and osteosarcoma. *Nature* 323, 643–646.

- Geddis, A.E., 2011. Congenital amegakaryocytic thrombocytopenia. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 57, 199–203.
- Gennery, A.R., Cant, A.J., Jeggo, P.A., 2000. Immunodeficiency associated with DNA repair defects. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 121, 1–7.
- German, J., 1997. Bloom's syndrome. XX. The first 100 cancers. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* 93, 100–106.
- Giardiello, F.M., Petersen, G.M., Brensinger, J.D., et al., 1996. Hepatoblastoma and APC gene mutation in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Gut* 39, 867–869.
- Gordon, P.L., Wilroy, R.S., Lasater, O.E., et al., 1995. Neoplasms in Proteus syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 57, 74–78.
- Gripp, K.W., 2005. Tumor predisposition in costello syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. C Semin. Med. Genet.* 137C, 72–77.
- Groden, J., Thliveris, A., Samowitz, W., et al., 1991. Identification and characterization of the familial adenomatous polyposis coli gene. *Cell* 66, 589–600.
- Hahn, C.N., Chong, C.E., Carmichael, C.L., Wilkins, E.J., Brautigan, P.J., Li, X.C., Babic, M., Lin, M., Carmagnac, A., Lee, Y.K., Kok, C.H., Gagliardi, L., Friend, K.L., Ekert, P.G., Butcher, C.M., Brown, A.L., Lewis, I.D., To, L.B., Timms, A.E., Storek, J., Moore, S., Altree, M., Escher, R., Bardy, P.G., Suthers, G.K., D'Andrea, R.J., Horwitz, M.S., Scott, H.S., 2011. Heritable GATA2 mutations associated with familial myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 1012–1017.
- Herrera, L., Kakati, S., Gibas, L., et al., 1986. Gardner syndrome in a man with an interstitial deletion of 5q. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 25, 473–476.
- Hill, D.A., Ivanovich, J., Priest, J.R., et al., 2009. DICER1 mutations in familial pleuropulmonary blastoma. *Science* 325, 965.
- Holmfeldt, L., Wei, L., Diaz-Flores, E., et al., 2013. The genomic landscape of hypodiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 242–252.
- Hsu, A.P., Sampaio, E.P., Khan, J., et al., 2011. Mutations in GATA2 are associated with the autosomal dominant and sporadic monocytopenia and mycobacterial infection (MonoMAC) syndrome. *Blood* 118 (10), 2653–2655.
- Hughes, L.J., Michels, V.V., 1992. Risk of hepatoblastoma in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 43, 1023–1025.
- Imai, K., Morio, T., Zhu, Y., et al., 2004. Clinical course of patients with WASP gene mutations. *Blood* 103, 456–464.
- Jacquemont, S., Boceno, M., Rival, J.M., et al., 2002. High risk of malignancy in mosaic variegated aneuploidy syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 109, 17–21.
- Jones, E.A., Sajid, M.I., Shenton, A., et al., 2011. Basal cell carcinomas in gorlin syndrome: a review of 202 patients. *J. Skin. Cancer* 2011, 217378.
- Jongmans, M.C., van dB, I., Hoogerbrugge, P.M., et al., 2011. Cancer risk in patients with Noonan syndrome carrying a PTPN11 mutation. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 19, 870–874.
- Karalis, A., Tischkowitz, M., Millington, G.W., 2011. Dermatological manifestations of inherited cancer syndromes in children. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 164, 245–256.
- Karlberg, N., Karlberg, S., Karikoski, R., et al., 2009. High frequency of tumors in mulibrey nanism. *J. Pathol.* 218, 163–171.
- Khan, I., Malinge, S., Crispino, J., 2011. Myeloid leukemia in Down syndrome. *Crit. Rev. Oncog.* 16, 25–36.
- Kimonis, V.E., Goldstein, A.M., Pastakia, B., et al., 1997. Clinical manifestations in 105 persons with neurofibromatosis type 1. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 69, 299–308.
- Kinzler, K.W., Nilbert, M.C., Su, L.K., et al., 1991. Identification of FAP locus genes from chromosome 5q21. *Science* 253, 661–665.
- Knapke, S., Nagarajan, R., Correll, J., et al., 2012. Hereditary cancer risk assessment in a pediatric oncology follow-up clinic. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 58, 85–89.
- Kratz, C.P., Rapisuwon, S., Reed, H., et al., 2011. Cancer in Noonan, Costello, cardiofaciocutaneous and LEOPARD syndromes. *Am. J. Med. Genet. C Semin. Med. Genet.* 157, 83–89.
- Kratz, C.P., Franke, L., Peters, H., Kohlschmidt, N., Kazmierczak, B., Finckh, U., Bier, A., Eichhorn, B., Blank, C., Kraus, C., Kohlhase, J., Pauli, S., Wildhardt, G., Kutsche, K., Auber, B., Christmann, A., Bachmann, N., Mitter, D., Cremer, F.W., Mayer, C., Daumer-Haas, C., Nevinny-Stickel-Hinzpeter, C., Oeffner, F., Schlüter, G., Gencik, M., Überlacker, B., Lissewski, C., Schanze, I., Greene, M.H., Spix, C., Zenker, M., 2015 Apr 14. Cancer spectrum and frequency among children with Noonan, Costello, and cardio-facio-cutaneous syndromes. *Br. J. Cancer* 112 (8), 1392–1397.
- Krutikova, V., Trkova, M., Fleitz, J., et al., 2005. Identification of five new families strengthens the link between childhood choroid plexus carcinoma and germline TP53 mutations. *Eur. J. Cancer* 41, 1597–1603.
- Lambrecht, J.T., Kreusch, T., 1997. Examine your orofacial cleft patients for Gorlin-Goltz syndrome. *Cleft Palate Craniofac. J.* 34, 342–350.
- Lapunzina, P., Badia, I., Galoppo, C., et al., 1998. A patient with Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome and hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Med. Genet.* 35, 153–156.
- Lapunzina, P., Gairi, A., Delicado, A., et al., 2004. Macrocephaly-cutis marmorata telangiectatica congenita: report of six new patients and a review. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 130A, 45–51.
- Lehmann, A.R., McGibbon, D., Stefanini, M., 2011. Xeroderma pigmentosum. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 6, 70.
- Lo, M.L., 2008. Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) syndrome (Gorlin syndrome). *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 3, 32.
- Loh, M.L., 2011. Recent advances in the pathogenesis and treatment of juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia. *Br. J. Haematol.* 152, 677–687.
- Maher, E.R., Neumann, H.P., Richard, S., 2011. von Hippel-Lindau disease: a clinical and scientific review. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 19, 617–623.
- Marini, F., Falchetti, A., Del, M.F., et al., 2006. Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 1, 45.
- Maruyama, K., Ikeda, H., Koizumi, T., 2001. Hepatoblastoma associated with trisomy 18 syndrome: a case report and a review of the literature. *Pediatr. Int.* 43, 302–305.
- Maserati, E., Pressato, B., Valli, R., et al., 2009. The route to development of myelodysplastic syndrome/acute myeloid leukaemia in Shwachman-Diamond syndrome: the role of ageing, karyotype instability, and acquired chromosome anomalies. *Br. J. Haematol.* 145, 190–197.
- McCaughan, J.A., Holloway, S.M., Davidson, R., et al., 2007. Further evidence of the increased risk for malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor from a Scottish cohort of patients with neurofibromatosis type 1. *J. Med. Genet.* 44, 463–466.
- Merks, J.H., Caron, H.N., Hennekam, R.C., 2005. High incidence of malformation syndromes in a series of 1,073 children with cancer. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 134A, 132–143.
- Merks, J.H., Ozgen, H.M., Koster, J., Zwiderman, A.H., Caron, H.N., Hennekam, R.C., 2008 Jan 2. Prevalence and patterns of morphological abnormalities in patients with childhood cancer. *JAMA* 299 (1), 61–69.
- Miller, R.W., Rubinstein, J.H., 1995. Tumors in rubinstein-taybi syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 56, 112–115.
- Mirzaa, G., Conway, R., Graham Jr., J.M., Dobyns, W.B., 2013. PIK3CA-Related segmental overgrowth [Internet]. Seattle (WA). In: Pagon, R.A., Adam, M.P., Ardinger, H.H., Wallace, S.E., Amemiya, A., Bean, L.J.H., Bird, T.D., Dolan, C.R., Fong, C.T., Smith, R.J.H., Stephens, K. (Eds.), *Source GeneReviews®*. University of Washington, Seattle, 1993–2015.
- Morrisette, J.J.D., de Chadarevian, J.P., Kolb, E.A., 2010 Jul 08. Familial mosaic monosomy 7 syndrome. In: Pagon, R.A., Adam, M.P., Ardinger, H.H., Wallace, S.E., Amemiya, A., Bean, L.J.H., Bird, T.D., Dolan, C.R., Fong, C.T., Smith, R.J.H., Stephens, K. (Eds.), *Source GeneReviews®* [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993–2015 [updated 2013 Feb 07].
- Mosse, Y.P., Laudenslager, M., Longo, L., et al., 2008. Identification of ALK as a major familial neuroblastoma predisposition gene. *Nature* 455, 930–935.
- Narod, S.A., Stiller, C., Lenoir, G.M., 1991. An estimate of the heritable fraction of childhood cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 63, 993–999.
- Narod, S.A., Hawkins, M.M., Robertson, C.M., Stiller, C.A., 1997 Mar. Congenital anomalies and childhood cancer in Great Britain. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 60 (3), 474–485.
- Nieuwenhuis, M.H., Kets, C.M., Murphy-Ryan, M., Yntema, H.G., Evans, D.G., Colas, C., Möller, P., Hes, F.J., Hodgson, S.V., Olders-Berends, M.J., Aretz, S., Heinemann, K., Gómez García, E.B., Douglas, F., Spigelman, A., Timshel, S., Lindor, N.M., Vasen, H.F., 2014 Mar. Cancer risk and genotype-phenotype correlations in PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome. *Fam. Cancer* 13 (1), 57–63.
- Noetzel, L., Lo, R.W., Lee-Sherick, A.B., Callaghan, M., Noris, P., Savoia, A., Rajpurkar, M., Jones, K., Gowan, K., Balduini, C.L., Pecci, A., Gnan, C., De Rocco, D., Doubek, M., Li, L., Lu, L., Leung, R., Landolt-Marticorena, C., Hunger, S., Heller, P., Gutierrez-Hartmann, A., Xiayuan, L., Pluthero, F.G., Rowley, J.W., Weyrich, A.S., Kahr, W.H., Porter, C.C., Di Paola, J., 2015 May. Germline mutations in ETV6 are associated with thrombocytopenia, red cell macrocytosis and predisposition to lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat. Genet.* 47 (5), 535–538.
- Noris, P., Favier, R., Alessi, M.C., Geddis, A.E., Kunishima, S., Heller, P.G., Giordano, P., Niederhoffer, K.Y., Bussell, J.B., Podda, G.M., Vianelli, N., Kersseboom, R., Pecci, A., Gnan, C., Marconi, C., Auvrignon, A., Cohen, W., Yu, J.C., Iguchi, A., Miller Imahiyerobo, A., Boehlen, F., Ghalloussi, D., De Rocco, D., Magini, P., Civaschi, E., Biino, G., Seri, M., Savoia, A., Balduini, C.L., 2013 Sep 12. ANKRD26-related thrombocytopenia and myeloid malignancies. *Blood* 122 (11), 1987–1989.
- Ochs, H.D., Hagin, D., 2014 Jun. Primary immunodeficiency disorders: general classification, new molecular insights, and practical approach to diagnosis and treatment. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 112 (6), 489–495. Jyothi S, Lissauer S, Welch S, Hackett S. Immune deficiencies in children: an overview. *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed.* 2013 Oct;98(5):186–96.
- Oltz, E.M., 2001. Regulation of antigen receptor gene assembly in lymphocytes. *Immunol. Res.* 23, 121–133.
- Owen, C.J., Toze, C.L., Koochin, A., et al., 2008. Five new pedigrees with inherited RUNX1 mutations causing familial platelet disorder with propensity to myeloid malignancy. *Blood* 112, 4639–4645.
- Padberg, G.W., Schot, J.D., Vielvoe, G.J., Bots, G.T., de Beer, F.C., 1991 May. Lhermitte-Duclos disease and Cowden disease: a single phakomatosis. *Ann. Neurol.* 29 (5), 517–523.
- Ripperger, T., Tauscher, M., Praulich, I., et al., 2011. Constitutional trisomy 8p11.21-q11.21 mosaicism: a germline alteration predisposing to myeloid leukaemia. *Br. J. Haematol.* 155, 209–217.
- Rodriguez, F.J., Stratakis, C.A., Evans, D.G., 2012. Genetic predisposition to peripheral nerve neoplasia: diagnostic criteria and pathogenesis of neurofibromatosis, Carney complex, and related syndromes. *Acta Neuropathol.* 123, 349–367.
- Rosser, T., Packer, R.J., 2002. Intracranial neoplasms in children with neurofibromatosis 1. *J. Child. Neurol.* 17, 630–637.
- Rump, P., Zeegers, M.P., van Essen, A.J., 2005. Tumor risk in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome: a review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 136, 95–104.
- Scott, R.H., Stiller, C.A., Walker, L., et al., 2006. Syndromes and constitutional chromosomal abnormalities associated with wilms tumor. *J. Med. Genet.* 43, 705–715.
- Shah, K.N., 2010. The diagnostic and clinical significance of cafe-au-lait macules. *Pediatr. Clin. North Am.* 57, 1131–1153.
- Skinner, M.A., DeBenedetti, M.K., Moley, J.F., et al., 1996. Medullary thyroid carcinoma in children with multiple endocrine neoplasia types 2A and 2B. *J. Pediatr. Surg.* 31, 177–181.
- Slade, I., Murray, A., Hanks, S., et al., 2011. Heterogeneity of familial

- medulloblastoma and contribution of germline PTCH1 and SUFU mutations to sporadic medulloblastoma. *Fam. Cancer*. 10, 337–342.
- Slade, I., Bacchelli, C., Davies, H., et al., 2011. DICER1 syndrome: clarifying the diagnosis, clinical features and management implications of a pleiotropic tumor predisposition syndrome. *J. Med. Genet.* 48, 273–278.
- Sullivan, K.E., Mullen, C.A., Blaese, R.M., et al., 1994. A multiinstitutional survey of the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. *J. Pediatr.* 125, 876–885.
- Szczepanski, T., van der Velden, V.H., Waanders, E., Kuiper, R.P., Van Vlierberghe, P., Gruhn, B., Eckert, C., Panzer-Grümayer, R., Basso, G., Cavé, H., Stadt, U.Z., Campana, D., Schrauder, A., Sutton, R., van Wering, E., Meijerink, J.P., van Dongen, J.J., 2011 Apr 20. Late recurrence of childhood t-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia frequently represents a second leukemia rather than a relapse: first evidence for genetic predisposition. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 29 (12), 1643–1649.
- Taskinen, M., Ranki, A., Pukkala, E., et al., 2008. Extended follow-up of the finnish cartilage-hair hypoplasia cohort confirms high incidence of non-Hodgkin lymphoma and basal cell carcinoma. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 146A, 2370–2375.
- Tatton-Brown, K., Rahman, N., 2007. Sotos syndrome. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 15, 264–271.
- Taylor, M.D., Liu, L., Raffel, C., et al., 2002. Mutations in SUFU predispose to medulloblastoma. *Nat. Genet.* 31, 306–310.
- Tran, H., Nourse, J., Hall, S., et al., 2008. Immunodeficiency-associated lymphomas. *Blood Rev.* 22, 261–281.
- Travis, L.B., Hill, D., Dores, G.M., et al., 2005. Cumulative absolute breast cancer risk for young women treated for hodgkin lymphoma. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 97, 1428–1437.
- Versteeg, I., Sevenet, N., Lange, J., et al., 1998. Truncating mutations of hSNF5/INI1 in aggressive paediatric cancer. *Nature* 394, 203–206.
- Vlachos, A., Rosenberg, P.S., Atsidaftos, E., et al., 2012. Incidence of neoplasia in Diamond Blackfan anemia: a report from the Diamond Blackfan Anemia Registry. *Blood* 119, 3815–3819.
- Walker, L., Thompson, D., Easton, D., et al., 2006. A prospective study of neurofibromatosis type 1 cancer incidence in the UK. *Br. J. Cancer.* 95, 233–238.
- Walter, A.W., Pivnick, E.K., Bale, A.E., et al., 1997. Complications of the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome: a case report. *J. Pediatr. Hematol. Oncol.* 19, 258–262.
- Wang, L.L., Levy, M.L., Lewis, R.A., et al., 2001. Clinical manifestations in a cohort of 41 Rothmund-Thomson syndrome patients. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 102, 11–17.
- Wegner, R.D., Chrzanowska, K.H., Sperling, K., et al., 1999. Ataxia-telangiectasia variants (Nijmegen breakage syndrome). In: Ochs, H.D., Smith, C.I.E., Puck, J.M. (Eds.), *Primary Immunodeficiency Diseases, a Molecular and Genetic Approach*. Oxford University Press.
- Wimmer, K., Etzler, J., 2008. Constitutional mismatch repair-deficiency syndrome: have we so far seen only the tip of an iceberg? *Hum. Genet.* 124, 105–122.
- Wood, M.E., Kadluek, P., Pham, T.H., et al., 2014. Quality of cancer family history and referral for genetic counseling and testing among oncology practices: a pilot test of quality measures as part of the American society of clinical oncology quality oncology practice Initiative. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 32, 824–829.
- Zhang, M.Y., Churpek, J.E., Keel, S.B., Walsh, T., Lee, M.K., Loeb, K.R., Gulsuner, S., Pritchard, C.C., Sanchez-Bonilla, M., Delrow, J.J., Basom, R.S., Forouhar, M., Gyurkocza, B., Schwartz, B.S., Neistadt, B., Marquez, R., Mariani, C.J., Coats, S.A., Hofmann, I., Lindsley, R.C., Williams, D.A., Abkowitz, J.L., Horwitz, M.S., King, M.C., Godley, L.A., Shimamura, A., 2015 Feb. Germline ETV6 mutations in familial thrombocytopenia and hematologic malignancy. *Nat. Genet.* 47 (2), 180–185.